



APR 22 2003

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Applicant:

Zilan Shen

Serial No.: 09/904,237

Filed: July 12, 2001

For: Fused Passive Organic
Light Emitting Displays

§ Art Unit: 2822

§ Examiner: Ida M. Soward

§ Atty Docket: ITL.0582US
P11591

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED
APR 24 2003
TC 2800 MAIL ROOM

REPLY TO PAPER NO. 6

Sir:

In response to the office action mailed March 12, 2003, reconsideration is requested in view of the following remarks.

REMARKS

All of the claims were rejected under Section 103 on a combination that includes the Montague reference. The Montague reference has absolutely nothing to do with the claimed invention. For example, claim 1 calls for a display. There is no display in Montague. The Examiner's effort to define both the claimed invention and the Montague reference as both relating to a fuse is to simply beg the question. The entire force and effect of the application, including its title and the preamble of the claims, calls for a display, not for a fuse. Thus, the

Date of Deposit: April 16, 2003
I hereby certify under 37 CFR 1.8(a) that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail with sufficient postage on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington DC 20231.

Cynthia L. Hayden